



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,166	08/01/2003	Osamu Ueda	1232-5096	4594
27123	7590	01/08/2009	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com  
Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com  
jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/633,166	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UEDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Timothy J. Henn	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota et al. (US 2001/0043275) in view of Nozaki (US 7,046,290) in view of Kinugasa et al. (US 5,043,817).

#### **[claim 14]**

Regarding claim 14, Hirota discloses an imaging apparatus comprising: an image pickup device having an imaging area in which a plurality of light receiving elements are two-dimensionally placed (Figure 2, Items 11); and a controller (Figure 42, Item 96) having a first mode adapted to output picture data from a first area in the imaging area (Paragraph 0063, normal imaging mode), a second control mode adapted to output the picture data by using the signal from a second area smaller than the first area (Paragraph 0063; high-speed imaging mode), exerting control so that, in the case of the first control mode the picture is outputted by the signal having mixed the signals of the

Art Unit: 2622

plurality of the light receiving elements (Paragraphs 0077-0078), and in the case of the second control mode, the picture data is outputted by the signal having mixed the signals of the plurality of light receiving elements (Paragraphs 0084-0086). However, Hirota does not disclose an optical zoom device or control according to use of the optical zoom device.

Nozaki discloses a camera including an optical zoom (Figure 3, Item 11; c. 5, ll. 32-34) and electronic zoom device (Figure 7; c. 8, ll. 20-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an optical an electronic zoom as taught by Nozaki in the camera of Hirota to allow a user to zoom in/out on a scene to capture a desired image. Nozaki discloses that an area smaller than the full area of the image sensor should be read out when operating an electronic zoom (i.e. not optical zoom; c. 8, ll. 32-37). It is further noted that Nozaki discloses a system wherein the full area is read out when a first scaling factor is set by the zoom unit (i.e.  $W_o$  to  $T_o$ , optical zoom area, Figure 7) and a second smaller area is read out when a second scaling factor is set by the zoom unit (i.e. electronic zoom region, Figure 7). Therefore, it would be obvious to use the first mode (i.e. normal readout mode) of Hirota when operating an optical zoom device and the second mode (i.e. high-speed readout mode) of Hirota when operating the electronic zoom device to zoom in on a scene. However, Hirota discloses mixing the same number of pixels in both modes.

Kinugasa discloses that when a zooming operation is performed, a mode of non-mixing of pixels should be used instead of a mixing mode because the use of a mixing

Art Unit: 2622

mode may introduce image deterioration (c. 2, ll. 20-64; c. 3, ll. 8-34; Figure 5).

Therefore, it would be obvious to read out pixels in the second mode of Hirota in view of Nozaki without mixing the pixels so that possible image deterioration may be avoided.

**[claim 15]**

Regarding claim 15, Hirota discloses a third control mode adapted to output the picture data by using the signal from a third area smaller than the second area (e.g. Paragraph 0072; setting  $n=2$  results in a 25-fold speed increase by reading out a smaller area of the image sensor), the signal having mixed the signals of the plurality of light receiving elements less than the number thereof mixed in the case of the first control mode (e.g. Figure 5; Paragraph 0072). Since Nozaki changes the area used for the photograph to progressively smaller areas as the scaling factor is increased, it would be obvious to use a mode such as the third control mode of Hirota to read out image information from the smaller area.

**[claim 16]**

Regarding claim 16, note that Nozaki discloses performing the electronic zoom function and thus the picture generating control as claimed in a case where the optical zoom device is on a furthest telescopic side (Figure 7).

**[claim 17]**

Regarding claim 17, Nozaki discloses a zoom operation device for a user to operate expansion or reduction of the picture data, wherein the control determines the picture generating control method in accordance with the zoom operation device (Figure

1, Items 3 and 4; Figure 7).

**[claim 18]**

Claim 18 is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 14. Therefore, claim 18 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 14.

***Conclusion***

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11-7.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy J Henn/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622